

### Measuring a Liberal Education and its Relationship with Labor Market Outcomes: An Exploratory Analysis

Daniel Rossman & Rayane Alamuddin October 2, 2020

Study Co-Authors: Meagan Wilson, Julia Karon, Jenna Joo, & Catharine Bond Hill

# Outline of presentation

- 1. Background & Motivation
- 2. Framework & Approach
- 3. Development of Index Scores
- 4. Exploratory Analyses & Findings
- 5. Limitations
- 6. Conclusion & Future Directions

# **Background & Motivation**

- Ongoing but unsupported questioning of the value of the liberal arts & sciences particularly its economic value for students.
- "A liberal arts college education is neither necessary nor sufficient for having received a liberal education" (Hill & Pisacreta, 2019).
- 1) What are the features that define a liberal arts and sciences educational experience, and to what extent are they offered by HEIs?
- 2) How are institutions' liberal arts and sciences educational offerings (LASEO) associated with their students' labor market outcomes?
- → Develop a framework for defining and measuring a liberal arts and sciences educational experience.

# LASEO Framework Goals

- Identify and describe key features of a liberal arts and sciences educational experience.
- Flexible enough to be applied in various contexts to capture both institutional offerings and student experiences.
- Measure the extent of institutions' educational offerings or of students' educational experiences.
- Does NOT aim to measure quality of offerings/experiences.

Framework = Liberal education features (foundation) + Metrics (application)

# **LASEO Framework Process**



# Mission

Impr Develop the liberally educated person Impart intellectual and practical skills that lay the foundation for lifelong learning Foster personal and social responsibility

#### **40 pts** Pedagogy

Deep engagement with content experts in and out of the classroom

#### 25 pts

#### Community

Experiences that offer deep exposure to diverse perspectives, skills, and opportunities



\*Three components are interrelated and do not operate in silos

- Committed expert faculty 12 pts
- Teaching practices & settings that foster active learning 22 pts
- Deep engagement with instructors 6 pts

- Prevalence of engaged learning practices used in the classroom (e.g. class discussion, multiple drafts of written work...) 12 pts
- Share of undergraduate class sections < 20 students 5 pts
- Average class section size 5 pts



Score based on combination of: (range of 0 - 15 pts)

- Core curriculum or university-wide distribution requirement
- Math or Science requirement
- Foreign language requirement





Liberal-Arts-and-Sciences-Educational-Offering-LASEO-Framework [Read-Only] - Excel								<b>T</b> –			
File	Home Insert	Page Layout Formul	as Data	Review V	iew ACROB	BAT Q	Tell me what you want to do			Rayane Alamuddin	
A9	• E 🗵 🗸	✓ <i>f</i> <sub>x</sub> Pedagogy									
	А	В		С			D	E	F	G	
	Liberal Arts and Sciences Educational Offering (LASEO) Framework										
1										١s	
2		a she was in a such is a set	ations as well			50 France	week and the full second UNAssecond	ing a librard Education and iss	Deletienshin	Last Updated on 09/24/20	20
3 <u>ro</u> 4	r background mormation o	in the project and its moti	ations, as well	as the develop	ment of the LAS	SEO FIAME	work, see the full report, measur	ing a Liberal Education and its i	Relationship	with Labor Market Outcomes. An explorat	.01
	Mission of a Liberal Arts and Sciences Education										٦
				1	Deve	elop the lib	perally educated person				
	Impart intellectual and practical skills that lay the foundation for lifelong learning (i.e. inquiry and analysis, critical and creative thinking; written and oral communication; tearwork; problem solving; guantitative literacy; information literacy)										
	Foster personal and social responsibility										
5			(I.e. CIVIC K	nowledge and	engagement, in	ntercultural	r knowledge and experience, ethic	cal reasoning and actions)			
5	Framework Fo	oundation					Framework A	Application			7
8	Component	Attribute	Ins	titution-Level	Proxy Metrics		Calculation Details	Scoring	Dataset	Notes	_
		Instruction by	Share of full-tir	me undergradu	ate faculty who	are	Numerator: total number of full-	Metric values for institutions	HERI	Full-time undergraduate faculty were	
		committed and invested	teaching-focus	ed and have a ( liscipline as th	loctoral degree	in the	time undergraduate faculty	were ordered, ranked, and		identified by HERI. We categorized those	e
		expertise	current faculty	appointment	e department of	rthen	have a doctoral degree in the	reflects the fraction of values		principal activity was teaching.	
							same general discipline as the	at or below their value.			
		12 pts					department of their current	Institutions with a metric			
							faculty appointment	value of 0 received 0 points.			
							Denominator: total number of	Weight: 12 pts			
							full-time undergraduate faculty				
							Eaculty responses were				
							weighted to adjust for response				
							bias in terms of gender and				
							faculty rank and aggregated to				
-	LASEO Frame	ework (+)						: 4			

#### https://sr.ithaka.org/our-work/measuring-a-liberal-education/

#### **Questions about the LASEO Framework?**

### Mission

ATTALLET Develop the liberally educated person Impart intellectual and practical skills that lay the foundation for lifelong learning Foster personal and social responsibility

#### 40 pts Pedagogy

Deep engagement with content experts in and out of the classroom

#### 25 pts Community

Experiences that offer deep exposure to diverse perspectives, skills, and opportunities

35 pts Curriculu Meaningful exposure to the liberal arts and

sciences, and to breadth and depth of academic disciplines

\*Three components are interrelated and do not operate in silos

### LASEO Index Scores Eligible Institutions and Final Sample

- Initial sample: 3,864 accredited, degree-granting, and undergraduateenrolling institutions in the US in the early 2000s.
- Limited sample based on available data.
- Only 34 two-year institutions had sufficient data excluded from analyses.
- Final sample: 454 four-year institutions.

### LASEO Index Scores Descriptive Data by Component

	n	Mean	SD	Min	Мах
LASEO index score	454	61	13	21	91
Pedagogy score (out of 40 points)	454	20	7	2	36
Curriculum score (out of 35 points)	454	25	5	4	35
Community score (out of 25 points)	454	17	3	7	23

### LASEO Index Scores Descriptive Data by Institutional Type

	n	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Full sample	454	61	13	21	91
Liberal arts institutions	114	75	9	55	91
Non-liberal arts institutions	340	57	10	21	86
Private institutions	318	65	12	29	91
Public institutions	136	53	10	21	86

### Exploratory Analyses Analytic Approach

- Examined relationship between LASEO scores of sampled institutions in early 2000s and mid-career student outcomes in 2014.
  - Full sample of 454 institutions
  - Subsample of 340 non-liberal arts institutions
- Analytic models
  - Model 1: bivariate regression no control variables.
  - Model 2: multivariate regression with control variables.
- Data sources
  - IPEDS Opportunity Insights College Scorecard

### Exploratory Analyses Outcome Variables

- Six-year graduation rate (academic achievement outcome)
- Mid-career earnings
- Net price-to-earnings ratio
- Intergenerational income mobility rate
- Seven-year loan repayment rate

### **Control Variables**

• Seven different institutional and student demographic characteristics typically associated with outcomes of interest (e.g. educational spending, student SAT scores, and parental income)

### Findings Full Sample – 454 institutions

- No relationships between LASEO scores and all five outcomes after including control variables (i.e. accounting for other relevant factors)
- Positive relationship with secondary outcome institutions' success rate

   i.e. the likelihood that students whose parents are in bottom 40% of
   income distribution move to top 40% by their early 30s.

### Subsample – 340 non-liberal arts institutions

- Similar findings to full sample, with one exception:
  - Positive relationship with six-year graduation rates (in model 2); strongest among community component

# **Study Limitations**

### **Framework application**

- Subjective and dependent on sets of assumptions
- Based on limited data availability

### Institution-level statistics and analyses

- Do not account for within-institution variation
- Do not account for student take-up of offerings
- Non-representative sample of four-year institutions

# **Conclusion & Future Directions**

- A novel approach to conceptualizing and measuring a liberal education
- Springboard for new applications and extensions
- Captured variation in LASEO and associations with student outcomes
  - Absence of significant associations contributes to literature
  - Potential positive implications for subgroups of students
- We invite you to pressure-test and extend our approach

# Thank You

#### Questions about our analyses or findings?

https://sr.ithaka.org/our-work/measuring-a-liberal-education/

daniel.rossman@ithaka.org rayane.alamuddin@ithaka.org